

Thesis including original research in the form of an experimental section

Areas of assessment	Excellent (5)	Very good (4)	Good (3)	Satisfactory (2)	Passable (1)	Fail (0)
Thesis objective and research questions	The objective of the thesis is clear and effectively connected to the theoretical background. The research questions are analytically justified. The professional or scholarly significance and framing of the thesis are justified against the theoretical background in an in-depth way, demonstrating independent thinking. The topic is clearly defined and the research questions clearly presented. The topic is insightfully discussed.	The objective of the thesis is clear and effectively connected to the theoretical background. The research questions are effectively justified and sensible. The professional or scholarly significance of the thesis is justified. The topic is discussed with sufficient complexity from a perspective suited to the discipline.	The objective of the thesis is clear and effectively connected to the theoretical background. The research questions are justified and sensible. The topic is discussed from a perspective suited to the discipline.	The objective of the thesis is described and connected to the theoretical background. The research questions and the framing of the topic are connected to the objective of the thesis. There are minor deficiencies or ambiguities in the research questions and the framing of the topic.	The objective of the thesis is described and the research questions presented, but the description is narrow or its connection to the theoretical background is unstructured. There are deficiencies or ambiguities in the research questions and the framing of the topic.	No objective is set for the thesis, or the objective remains extremely unclear. There are no research questions. The topic is not suited to the discipline.
Scholarly framework and use of sources	The theoretical background of the study or review is described in a consistent, complex	The theoretical background of the study or review is described in a consistent and	The theoretical background of the study or review is described consistently and	The theoretical background of the study or review is described in a consistent but narrow	The theoretical background of the study or review is described, but the description is	The theoretical background of the study or review is very inadequately described, or the

Areas of assessment	Excellent (5)	Very good (4)	Good (3)	Satisfactory (2)	Passable (1)	Fail (0)
	and analytical way. Key studies related to the topic are taken into consideration and given equal weight. The source literature is broadly examined in a multifaceted way, demonstrating critical thinking. Knowledge of the research field is expert level. The need for the study or review is effectively and insightfully justified.	complex way. Key studies related to the topic are taken into consideration and given equal weight. The source literature is sufficiently broadly examined in a multifaceted way, demonstrating critical thinking. Knowledge of the research field is good. The need for the study or review is effectively justified.	sufficiently broadly. Key studies related to the topic are taken into consideration. The source literature is examined analytically and in a multifaceted way. The need for the study or review is justified.	way. The source literature is examined fairly broadly and somewhat analytically. The need for the study or review is justified.	incomplete or inconsistent. Source literature is used, but in a limited way. The analytical analysis is weak.	theoretical background is not connected to the research questions. The source literature used is random and not analytically examined. There are serious factual errors in the scholarly content that result from an insufficient or biased use or interpretation of sources.
Research data and methods	The data, their quality and their collection are described in accordance with the principles of responsible research conduct. The student demonstrates profound familiarity with the topic, for example, by developing or improving a research method or by applying more demanding statistical methods. Research	The data, their quality and their collection are described in accordance with the principles of responsible research conduct. The research methods are appropriate, and they are appropriately and carefully used. The statistical methods are appropriate. Research ethics aspects are described and appropriately	The data, their quality and their collection are described in accordance with the principles of responsible research conduct. The research methods are appropriate and appropriately used. The statistical methods are appropriate. Research ethics aspects are described and	The data, their quality and their collection are described insufficiently to a degree. Not all of the research methods have been appropriately chosen, or there are some deficiencies in their application. Research ethics aspects are described and appropriately taken into consideration.	The data, their quality and their collection are deficiently described. Not all of the research methods have been appropriately chosen, or there are deficiencies in their application. Research ethics aspects are described and appropriately taken into consideration.	The data and research methods are narrowly described, or there are significant deficiencies in the content. There are significant deficiencies or errors in the application of the methods, or the data have not been analysed. Research ethics aspects are not described or

Areas of assessment	Excellent (5)	Very good (4)	Good (3)	Satisfactory (2)	Passable (1)	Fail (0)
	ethics aspects are described and appropriately taken into consideration.	taken into consideration.	appropriately taken into consideration.			related principles are not observed.
Presentation of thesis results	The results are clearly and logically presented, responding to the research questions. The results are independently analysed and illustrated. The figures, tables and text constitute a clear, illustrative and appropriate whole for the presentation of all relevant results.	The results are clearly and logically presented, responding to the research questions. The results are largely independently analysed and illustrated. The figures, tables and text constitute a clear, illustrative and appropriate whole for the presentation of all relevant results.	The results are clearly and logically presented, responding to the research questions. The figures and tables are clear, illustrative and appropriate for presenting the key research results. The results in the figures or tables are not unnecessarily repeated in the text.	The results are presented in an understandable way and their connection to the research questions is clear. The results are illustrated with figures or tables, but they contain content-related or other qualitative deficiencies. Results presented in figures or tables are unnecessarily repeated in the text.	The results are presented in an understandable way, but their connection to the research questions remains unclear. The results are illustrated, but in a limited or unclear way. Results presented in figures or tables are unnecessarily repeated in the text.	The results are inadequately or erroneously presented, or they are not understandable. The research questions are not answered. The results are illustrated in a disorderly, inconsistent or deficient way.
Discussion and conclusions	The results are discussed in a complex and critical way, utilising the literature. Topics for further research and the practical application of the results are insightfully discussed. The reliability of the results and the research process are	The results are discussed in a complex and critical way, utilising the literature. Topics for further research and the practical application of the results, as well as the reliability of the results and the research process, are discussed in a	Key results are identified, and they are appropriately examined with the help of the literature. In addition to responding to the research questions, topics for further research and the possible practical application of the results are discussed.	The key results are identified and discussed. There may be deficiencies in the discussion on topics for further research or the application of the results and their reliability. The conclusions are justified to a degree and linked to a broader context.	The discussion of the results is limited. The linking of the results with a broader context and theoretical knowledge is scarce. There are deficiencies in the discussion of topics for further research and the application of the results. The reliability of the	The results or their reliability are not discussed, or they are not compared with prior theoretical knowledge. Topics for further research or the application of the results are not highlighted. No conclusions are presented, or they

Areas of assessment	Excellent (5)	Very good (4)	Good (3)	Satisfactory (2)	Passable (1)	Fail (0)
	critically discussed. The conclusions reached produce new theoretical interpretations or help conceptualise the phenomenon. The conclusions are set in an appropriate context on the basis of analytical justification and they are in line with the research objectives.	consistent way. The conclusions are justified, set in an appropriate context and in line with the research objectives.	The reliability of the results and the research process are consistently discussed. The conclusions are justified, set in an appropriate context and in line with the research objectives.		results is scarcely discussed, or the discussion is inconsistent. The conclusions are presented in a limited or unclear way.	are presented erroneously.
The thesis as an academic text	The structure of the thesis complies with the guidelines set for the thesis type. The thesis is written in consistent, precise and extremely fluent academic prose. The language is almost flawless, clearly conveying the student's scholarly thinking and understanding. Terminology is used precisely. The list of references and citations are flawless and in line with each other. The appearance	The structure of the thesis complies with the guidelines set for the thesis type. The thesis is written in consistent, precise and fluent academic prose. The language is almost flawless, conveying the student's scholarly thinking. Terminology is used precisely. The list of references and citations are in accordance with the guidelines and in line with each other. The appearance of the	The structure of the thesis complies with the guidelines set for the thesis type. The thesis is written in consistent, precise and sufficiently fluent academic prose. The text contains very few language errors. Terminology is used appropriately. The list of references and citations are in accordance with the guidelines, and they contain few inaccuracies or errors. The	The structure of the thesis complies with the guidelines set for the thesis type. The thesis is written in consistent and fairly fluent academic prose. The text contains only few language errors. Terminology is mainly used appropriately, and there are no serious deficiencies. The list of references and citations are mainly in accordance with the guidelines, and they contain few inaccuracies or errors.	The structure of the thesis complies to a degree with the guidelines set for the thesis type. The text is understandable but inconsistent, or the language used is inaccurate. There are language errors in the text. There are deficiencies in the use of scholarly terminology. The list of references is partly based on the guidelines, but it contains inaccuracies or errors. The	The structure of the thesis does not comply with the guidelines. The thesis overall is fragmented. The text and linguistic expression do not meet the requirements for academic prose. The text contains many language errors that hinder its readability. There are significant deficiencies in the use of sources and citations. The appearance of the

Areas of assessment	Excellent (5)	Very good (4)	Good (3)	Satisfactory (2)	Passable (1)	Fail (0)
	of the thesis is polished and clear.	thesis is polished and clear.	appearance of the thesis is polished.	There are minor deficiencies in the appearance of the thesis.	appearance of the thesis is unpolished.	thesis does not comply with the guidelines.
Work during the thesis process (assessed only by the responsible supervisor)	The student showed initiative and worked in a goal-oriented way. The work progressed according to the agreed schedule and in a systematic way throughout the process. The student's contribution is clearly reported and independent. They received supervision and feedback, utilising them in their work in an insightful and justified way.	The student showed initiative and worked in a goal-oriented way. The student progressed according to the agreed schedule in a systematic way. The student's contribution is clearly reported and independent. They received supervision and feedback, utilising them in their work in an independent way.	The student progressed according to the agreed schedule in a systematic way. The student's contribution is clearly reported and sufficiently independent. They received supervision and feedback, utilising them in their work in an independent way.	The student progressed systematically. To a degree, the student deviated from the agreed schedule during the thesis process. The student's contribution is limited, or ambiguously reported. The student required a fairly large amount of support at different stages of the process. The student used the feedback provided.	To a degree, the student progressed systematically, but did not observe the agreed schedule during the thesis process. The student's contribution is limited, or ambiguously reported. The student required a great deal of support at different stages of the process. There were deficiencies in utilising the feedback provided.	The student did not work systematically during the process, or the scope of their contribution is not known or reported. The student did not assume responsibility for their work, or they did not accept the supervision or feedback provided.